

§ 4.3 Computation of the Kolmogorov-Sinai's entropy.

- Recall that for a MPS (X, β, μ, T) , the entropy of T w.r.t μ is defined by

$$h_\mu(T) = \sup h_\mu(T, \mathfrak{D}),$$

where $\mathfrak{D}$ ranges over all finite partitions of X , and

$$h_\mu(T, \mathfrak{D}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_\mu(\mathfrak{D} \vee T^{-1}\mathfrak{D} \vee \dots \vee T^{-(n-1)}\mathfrak{D}).$$

Below we give a useful proposition.

Prop. 4.6. Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a cts map on a compact metric space and that $\mathfrak{D}_n$ is a sequence of partitions with $\text{diam } \mathfrak{D}_n \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$h_\mu(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_\mu(T, \mathfrak{D}_n).$$

The proof of the prop. is based on several lemmas.

Lem 4.7. Let X be a compact metric space, and let μ be a Borel prob. measure on X . Let

$$G = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$$

be a Borel partition of X . Suppose that $\{\mathcal{D}_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of partitions such that

$$\text{diam } \mathcal{D}_m := \max_{D \in \mathcal{D}_m} \text{diam } D \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then $\exists$ partitions $\{E_1^m, \dots, E_n^m\}$ so that

1. each E_i^m is a union of members of $\mathcal{D}_m$

2. $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_i^m \Delta C_i) = 0$ for each i .

pf. Pick compact $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n$ with $K_i \subset C_i$ and

$$\mu(C_i \setminus K_i) < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\delta = \inf_{i \neq j} d(K_i, K_j)$. Consider m such that

$$\text{diam } \mathcal{D}_m < \delta/2.$$

Divide the elements $D \in \mathcal{D}_m$ into groups whose unions are $E_1^m, E_2^m, \dots, E_n^m$ so that $D \in E_i^m$ if

$D \cap K_i \neq \emptyset$. As $\text{diam } \mathcal{D}_m < \frac{\delta}{2}$, any $D \in \mathcal{D}_m$ intersects at most one K_i . put a D hitting no K_i in any E_i^m as you like. Then $E_i^m \supseteq K_i$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E_i^m \Delta C_i) &= \mu(C_i \setminus E_i^m) + \mu(E_i^m \setminus C_i) \\ &\leq \mu(C_i \setminus K_i) + \mu(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i) \\ &\quad \text{(notice that } E_i^m \setminus C_i = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + n\varepsilon \\ &= (n+1)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$



Lem 4.8 Let X be a compact metric space and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and G be a finite Borel partition. There is $\delta > 0$ such that $H_\mu(G|\mathcal{D}) < \varepsilon$ whenever $\mathcal{D}$ is a partition with $\text{diam}(\mathcal{D}) < \delta$.

Pf. Let $G = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$. In Lem 4.7, we have shown that for any $\alpha > 0$, one could find $\delta > 0$ such that

whenever $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies $\text{diam}(\mathcal{D}) < \delta$, then there is

$$\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, \dots, E_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}$$

with

$$\mu(E_i \Delta C_i) < \alpha.$$

↘ (i.e. each E_i is a Union of members in $\mathcal{D}$).

Now

$$H_\mu(G|\mathcal{D}) \leq H_\mu(G|\mathcal{E})$$

$$= \sum_i \sum_j \mu(E_i) \phi\left(\frac{\mu(C_j \cap E_i)}{\mu(E_i)}\right)$$

clearly the expression for $H_\mu(G|\mathcal{E})$ is a cts function
on $\mu(E_i)$ and $\mu(C_j \cap E_i)$

and vanishes if $\mu(C_j \cap E_i) = \delta_{ij} \mu(E_i)$.

$$\downarrow \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Hence when α is small,

$$H_\mu(G|\mathcal{E}) < \varepsilon,$$

$$\text{so } H_\mu(G|\mathcal{D}) < \varepsilon.$$



pf of prop 4.6:

$$\text{clearly } h_{\mu}(T) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{\mu}(T, \mathcal{D}_n).$$

For any partition G ,

$$h_{\mu}(T, G) \leq h_{\mu}(T, \mathcal{D}_n) + H_{\mu}(G | \mathcal{D}_n) \quad (\text{by Lem 4.5})$$

By Lem 4.8,

$$h_{\mu}(T, G) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{\mu}(T, \mathcal{D}_n).$$

Hence

$$h_{\mu}(T) = \sup_G h_{\mu}(T, G) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{\mu}(T, \mathcal{D}_n).$$



Def. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called expansive if $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$d(T^k x, T^k y) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } k \geq 0 \Rightarrow x = y.$$

Prop. 4.9 Suppose $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a cts transformation on a compact metric space with expansive constant ε .
Then $h_\mu(T) = h_\mu(T, \mathcal{D})$ whenever $\text{diam } \mathcal{D} < \varepsilon$.

Pf. Let $\mathcal{D}_n := \mathcal{D} \vee T^{-1}\mathcal{D} \vee \dots \vee T^{-(n-1)}\mathcal{D}$.

We claim $\text{diam}(\mathcal{D}_n) \rightarrow 0$.

To see this, suppose on the contrary that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{D}_n) \not\rightarrow 0$.

Then $\exists (n_k) \uparrow \infty$, ^{and} $x_{n_k}, y_{n_k} \in X$ s.t. $d(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) > \delta$,
 $d(T^i x_{n_k}, T^i y_{n_k}) \leq \varepsilon$ for $0 \leq i \leq n_k - 1$

WLOG, assume $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$, $y_{n_k} \rightarrow y$.

Then $d(x, y) > \varepsilon$,

$d(T^i x, T^i y) \leq \varepsilon$, $\forall i \geq 0 \Rightarrow x = y$ by expansiveness.

Leading to a contradiction.

Since $\text{diam } \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow 0$,

$$h_\mu(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_\mu(T, \mathcal{D}_n).$$

However, $h_\mu(T, \mathcal{D}_n) = h_\mu(T, \mathcal{D})$ by Lem 4.5.

Hence

$$h_\mu(T) = h_\mu(T, \mathcal{D}).$$

§ 4.4 Examples.

Consider the left shift $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$, where $\Sigma = \{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the one-sided full shift space.

Then σ is expansive with constant $1/2$.

- The one-sided $(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ -shift over $\Sigma = \{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{N}}$

has entropy $-\sum_{i=1}^k p_i \log p_i$.

- The ^{one-sided} $(\vec{p}, p)$ Markov shift has entropy

$$-\sum_{i,j} p_i p_{ij} \log p_{ij}.$$